

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

## Sleep/wake detection by behavioral response to haptic stimuli may be confounded by the sleep stage during which the haptic stimuli are delivered

Madhulika A. Gupta, MD, MSc, RST, FAASM<sup>1</sup>; Aditya K. Gupta, MD, PhD, FAAD<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Schulich School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Toronto Medical School, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Miller and colleagues<sup>1</sup> have proposed an innovative approach for assessing movement-free waking from sleep (MFW) during actigraphy by measuring the behavioral responses to haptic stimuli during the sleep period. MFW is a common feature of insomnia that is registered as sleep by conventional actigraphy, resulting in an imprecise measure of sleep efficiency (SE). The authors studied 18 participants who received a vibratory stimulus from a stimulating actigraph or “Wakemeter” (Mbitlab, San Francisco, California)<sup>1</sup> in conjunction with overnight polysomnography (PSG). The Wakemeter administered a 1-second gentle vibratory stimulus every 10 minutes during sleep, and participants were instructed to squeeze a device if they detected the stimulus. A squeeze occurring within 10 seconds of a haptic stimulus was scored as a response. Wakemeter-derived SE was estimated by dividing the total number of 10-minute nonresponse epochs (denoting sleep) by the total number of 10-minute epochs in the sleep period. MFW by PSG denoted periods when electroencephalogram and electromyogram signals were consistent with wake but unaccompanied by artifacts indicative of body movement. Wakemeter- and PSG-derived estimates of SE were highly correlated ( $\rho = 0.69$ ,  $P = .001$ ); however, this correlation became much weaker (figure 4 in the Miller et al study<sup>1</sup>) when the Wakemeter-derived SE was <50%, leading the authors<sup>1</sup> to comment that a Wakemeter-derived SE rating of <50% may be artifactual.

One possible basis for this discrepancy in SE measures<sup>1</sup> when the Wakemeter-derived SE was <50% is the variation in cutaneous perception according to sleep stage.<sup>2</sup> Savin and colleagues<sup>3</sup> first reported that scratching during sleep in reaction to itch perception was primarily related to the physiology of the sleep stage rather than the underlying pruritic dermatologic condition; the highest frequency (scratching frequency was positively related to the underlying sympathetic tone) of scratching was noted in Stage 1 of Non-Rapid Eye Movement (NREM) sleep (N1 sleep), with decreasing frequency through Stage 2 of NREM sleep (N2) and Stage 3 of NREM sleep (N3), and scratching during rapid eye movement (Stage R sleep) was closer to N2 sleep.<sup>2,3</sup> These findings have been replicated in subsequent studies.<sup>2</sup>

A common PSG feature of chronic insomnia is a relative increase in N1 and decrease in N3 sleep,<sup>4</sup> and some long-term users of hypnotic medications may experience relatively more

N2 sleep. A similar shift in sleep architecture in some participants (6 participants used hypnotics nightly<sup>1</sup>) could have decreased the threshold for perception of a Wakemeter-delivered vibration and been associated with a stronger behavioral response to the Wakemeter-delivered stimuli, similar to the differences in endogenously mediated scratching depending upon the sleep stage.<sup>2,3</sup> An underlying sleep architecture with relatively more N1 and/or N2 sleep could therefore lead to a lower Wakemeter- versus PSG-derived estimate of SE.

## CITATION

Gupta MA, Gupta AK. Sleep/wake detection by behavioral response to haptic stimuli may be confounded by the sleep stage during which the haptic stimuli are delivered. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2020;16(5):823.

## REFERENCES

1. Miller KE, Babler L, Maillart T, Faerman A, Woodward SH. Sleep/wake detection by behavioral response to haptic stimuli. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2019;15(11):1675–1681.
2. Sack R, Hanifin J. Scratching below the surface of sleep and itch. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2010;14(6):349–350.
3. Savin JA, Paterson WD, Oswald I, Adam K. Further studies of scratching during sleep. *Br J Dermatol*. 1975;93(3):297–302.
4. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *International Classification of Sleep Disorders*. 3rd ed. Darien, IL: American Academy of Sleep Medicine; 2014.

## SUBMISSION &amp; CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

Submitted for publication January 26, 2020

Submitted in final revised form January 30, 2020

Accepted for publication January 31, 2020

Address correspondence to: Madhulika A. Gupta, MD, MSc, RST, FAASM, 585 Springbank Drive, Suite 101, London, Ontario N6J 1H3, Canada; Tel: 01-519-641-1001; Fax: 01-519-641-1033; Email: magupta@uwo.ca

## DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

All authors have seen and approved the manuscript. The authors report no conflicts of interest.