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Short sleep duration and obesity are common occurrence in today’s society. An extensive literature from cross-sectional and longitudinal epidemiological studies shows a relationship between short sleep and prevalence of obesity and weight gain. However, causality cannot be inferred from such studies. Clinical intervention studies have examined whether reducing sleep in normal sleepers, typically sleeping 7-9 h/night, can affect energy intake, energy expenditure, and endocrine regulators of energy balance. The aim of this review is to evaluate studies that have assessed food intake, energy expenditure, and leptin and ghrelin levels after periods of restricted and normal sleep. Most studies support the notion that restricting sleep increases food intake, but the effects on energy expenditure are mixed. Differences in methodology and component of energy expenditure analyzed may account for the discrepancies. Studies examining the effects of sleep on leptin and ghrelin have provided conflicting results with increased, reduced, or unchanged leptin and ghrelin levels after restricted sleep compared to normal sleep. Energy balance of study participants and potential sex differences may account for the varied results. Studies should strive for constant energy balance and feeding schedules when assessing the role of sleep on hormonal profile. Although studies suggest that restricting sleep may lead to weight gain via increased food intake, research is needed to examine the impact on energy expenditure and endocrine controls. Also, studies have been of short duration, and there is little knowledge on the reverse question: does increasing sleep duration in short sleepers lead to negative energy balance?
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There is much epidemiological evidence supporting an association between self-reported short sleep duration and obesity. This has been the topic of several meta-analyses and systematic reviews.1-3 These studies show that the odds of obesity are increased in those who report sleeping short, generally < 7 h/night, compared to those who report sleeping a recommended amount of time, about 7-8.5 h/night. Since those reviews were published, additional support has been put forth from various population studies.4-9 Although the literature is somewhat consistent, some studies do not show an association in specific population subgroups, such as the elderly.6,10 Nevertheless, it is generally agreed that there is a link between short sleep duration and obesity. However, whether this relationship is causal is debatable. The purpose of this review is to examine the literature to determine if alterations in sleep duration lead to changes in the energy balance equation: Energy intake = Energy output (weight stability). The focus will be on adult clinical studies examining the impact of reductions in sleep duration on energy intake and energy expenditure (EE). If short sleep duration is a causal factor in the path towards obesity, then restricting sleep should produce an imbalance in the energy balance equation favoring increased energy intake relative to expenditure or reduced EE relative to intake (Energy intake > Energy output).

There are various ways to assess the impact of sleep duration on energy balance. This review will provide a overview of the effects of sleep deficiency on each component of the energy balance equation, with subcategories based on the sleep protocol: total sleep deprivation (no sleep at all during ≥ 24-h period), partial sleep restriction (sleep prescription < 7 h per 24-h period), and sleep quality (alterations in sleep architecture or disrupted sleep with little or no reduction in sleep duration).

1. Does sleep deficiency affect appetite and hunger?

a. Total sleep deprivation

Self-reported hunger and appetite ratings, usually obtained on linear scales, as well as measured food intake in the laboratory, have been obtained in various sleep protocols. Schmid et al.11 compared hunger ratings after a night of total sleep deprivation, a night of 4.5 h sleep, and a night of 7 h sleep and noted increased hunger ratings in the restricted sleep conditions. Compared to the night of 7 h sleep, young men reported more than double the hunger rating after total sleep deprivation and almost 50% (not significant) higher hunger rating after short sleep, such that there was an incremental impact on feelings of hunger with increased restriction in sleep. On the other hand, another study conducted in a sample of men and women did not find differences in hunger ratings after one night of total sleep deprivation compared to one night of recovery sleep (8 h in bed).12 Actual food intake was not measured in these studies, and therefore it is unknown whether participants would have acted on their feelings of hunger by actually increasing their
food intake during the day of total sleep deprivation compared to the day in which sleep was permitted.

b. Partial sleep restriction

Spiegel and colleagues were the first to report a rise in subjective feelings of hunger and appetite after 2 d of restricted sleep to 4 h/night relative to approximately 9 h sleep (habitual sleep). Men reported a 24% higher rating of hunger and 23% higher rating of appetite on a 10-cm visual analog scale; the difference in appetite ratings was most marked for calorie-dense, high-carbohydrate foods. Similar results were not observed in a separate study from Schmid et al. comparing hunger and appetite ratings after 2 nights of either 4 h or 8 h bed times in men or after a period of 3 nights of 4 h in bed compared to 3 nights of 9 h in bed in a sample of men and women. Also, in a study involving women only, hunger and craving scores were not different after one night of 3 h sleep compared to one night of 10 h sleep opportunity or after 4 nights of progressive sleep loss compared to baseline 9 h sleep. However, in the study by St-Onge et al., there was a sex by sleep interaction on fullness, such that men had lower subjective ratings of fullness during the period of short sleep compared to habitual sleep that was not observed in women.

c. Sleep quality

Sleep quality has also been associated with eating behaviors. Using the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire, Kilkus et al. observed that poor sleep quality, but not sleep duration, was associated with increased hunger, uncontrolled and emotional eating, as well as cognitive restraint, even after controlling for habitual sleep duration and physical activity. In that study, sleep quality was assessed by questionnaire and sleep duration and physical activity were assessed by actigraphy. Along those lines, it is interesting to note that, in the Québec Family Study, disinhibited eating, but not hunger and restraint, and sleep duration interacted to predict weight gain over a 6-y follow-up period. In that study, individuals categorized as short sleepers and disinhibited eaters were more likely to gain weight compared to all other sleep and disinhibited eating combinations (average or long sleep and low disinhibition were other categories). Moreover, the risk of incident overweight/obesity was greatest in that group. Of note, < 5% of the study population fell into the short sleep-high disinhibition group. Nevertheless, the studies by Kilkus et al. and Chaput et al. suggest that eating behavior traits are related to sleep and may be important in the relationship between sleep and obesity.

Gonnissen et al. examined the impact of sleep fragmentation independent of sleep duration on subjective feelings of appetite. In that study, healthy men were randomized to two 24-h sleep periods in which they either slept uninterrupted for 8 h or had their sleep disturbed by wake-up calls every 90 min over 8 h. The wake-up calls did not lead to a significant reduction in total sleep duration, but rather led to a reduction in REM sleep and a corresponding increase in stage 2 sleep. Participants reported feeling less full in the afternoon after the night of fragmented sleep and reported a greater desire to eat after dinner compared to after the night of undisturbed sleep. The authors concluded that sleep quality may be more important than sleep duration for appetite regulation but did not measure food intake in their study.

d. Summary: Sleep deficiency and appetite/hunger

Several study-related differences may account for the discordant results regarding the impact of restricting sleep duration on hunger and appetite ratings. In the original study by Spiegel et al., the impact of sleep may have been amplified by the study conditions: men were on constant intravenous glucose infusion throughout the measurement period. Data by Schmid et al. were collected at one time point—morning fasted—whereas others collected hunger ratings before dinner, 15-30 min before each meal, or throughout the day. Differences in the degree of sleep restriction may also account for some of the variability in the data, although this is less clear; for example, 2 studies of total sleep deprivation have opposite results. Interestingly, the 2 studies that report differences in hunger ratings between restricted and habitual sleep include only young, healthy men, whereas the others also included women. It is possible that sleep deficiency alter subjective feelings of hunger and appetite differently in men and women.

2. Does sleep deficiency affect food intake?

Studies described above suggest that sleep duration or quality may affect feelings of hunger and eating behaviors. Clinical studies have also examined whether alterations in sleep duration affect actual food intake. To date, 5 studies have assessed food intake during periods of restricted and habitual sleep. Two studies enrolled normal weight men exclusively. In the study by Brondel et al., men self-recorded afternoon and evening food intake, and breakfast and lunch intakes were assessed in the laboratory after one night of 8 h or 4 h in bed. Energy intakes were 22.5% higher, equivalent to 559 kcal, after a night of restricted sleep compared to habitual sleep. Percent energy from fat was also higher. In the study by Schmid et al., food intake in the lab was measured after 2 nights of either 8 h or 4 h in bed. Participants were given a buffet-style breakfast until 11:00 and a snack buffet from 11:00 onwards; meals were selected from a menu and served in 1,200 kcal quantities upon request. Total energy intake, energy from meals and snacks, and energy from breakfast were not different between sleep phases (2.5% increase, equivalent to 101 kcal), but percent energy from fat was higher after the period of restricted vs habitual sleep. Participants chose more foods from the “fat” category but not from sweet or salty snacks during restricted sleep. These data contrast with those from Nedeltcheva et al., who reported a specific increase in snack food energy intake during a 14-d period of 5.5 h time in bed compared to 7.5 h time in bed in overweight men and women. In that study, total daily energy intake was higher during restricted sleep compared to habitual sleep (8.7%, equivalent to 297 kcal), but the difference became nonsignificant after adjusting for body weight. The increase in snack intake was mostly from high carbohydrate foods and occurred at night (19:00-07:00) rather than during the day (07:00-19:00). It is important to note that in that study, meals were served in excess during specific times during the day (08:00-09:00, 13:00-14:00, and 18:30-19:30), and snack foods were available 24 h. It is possible that Nedeltcheva et al. were underpowered to detect differences in total energy intakes: a larger study in normal weight men and women found statistically significant results of a similar magnitude (approximately 12% above re-
corded habitual intake, equivalent to 296 kcal) after 4 nights of restricted sleep relative to habitual sleep.15

Only one study was conducted exclusively in women (8 normal weight, 6 overweight or obese).17 Participants kept food records during 2 d of 9 h sleep, 4 d of 5.5 h sleep, and 2 d of 9.3 h sleep. Energy intakes increased by 20%, equivalent to 415 kcal, during the period of restricted sleep relative to baseline habitual sleep, with no change in macronutrient distribution.

Overall, 4 of the 5 studies measuring food intake with alterations in sleep duration report an increase in energy intake ranging from approximately 300 kcal/d15,22 to 559 kcal/d21 (Table 1). Differences in measurement method—participant self-records17,21 or investigator-measured4,15,22—as well as method of food presentation, buffet style/constant availability,14 fixed meal times,22 or complete control over food selection and timing of eating occasions55 may account for some of the differences in study results. Also, the 2 studies in men excluded restrained eaters,14,21 whereas the other studies did not assess eating behavior trait. In general, however, the clinical data support epidemiological data showing that short sleep is associated with low fruit and vegetable consumption, high-fat diet, high frequency of fast food consumption,23 reduced tendency to eat during conventional eating hours, and dominance of snack intakes over meals.24

3. Does sleep deficiency affect energy expenditure?

Energy expenditure is a complex component of energy balance. Total daily EE can be divided into resting metabolic rate (RMR), the measurement of EE under resting but wakeful conditions, postprandial EE, the measurement of EE after a meal, activity EE in response to physical activity, non-exercise activity thermogenesis, EE due to fidgeting or other movements not considered exercise, and sleeping metabolic rate, the EE under sleeping conditions. Additionally, each component can be measured using various methods. Total daily EE can be measured using doubly-labeled water for total free-living measurements or using a metabolic chamber, in which a person is confined to a small room while room gases are collected to assess oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production in the calculation of EE. Indirect calorimetry using a ventilated hood metabolic cart can be used to assess oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production over several hours. With that method, the calculated EE can be extrapolated to a 24-h period. Usually, this is the method of choice for measuring RMR or postprandial thermogenesis. Activity EE can be measured in the metabolic chamber, using a metabolic cart, or by actigraphy. These methods will be mentioned in the following sections.

a. Total sleep deprivation

Although studies overwhelmingly support a role of sleep restriction on food intake, studies are mixed with respect of its effects on EE. Two studies of total sleep deprivation report discordant results on various components of EE.25,26 Benedict et al.25 subjected 14 young normal-weight men to 2 intervention periods of 24 h each in which they either slept between 23:00 and 07:00 or remained awake. Men were kept in a supine position between 18:00 on the first night and 13:00 the following day, after which they sat until their 18:00 dis-

charge. Food intake was controlled for dinner, breakfast, and lunch, and EE was measured by indirect calorimetry. RMR was reduced by 5.2% after the night of total sleep deprivation, and the rise in postprandial EE after breakfast was 20% lower than after the night that permitted sleep. In contrast, 7 young normal-weight men (n = 5) and women (n = 2) spent 3 d in a metabolic chamber for measurement of 24-h EE during a day which included 8 h sleep (baseline) followed by a day of total sleep deprivation and another day with 8 h sleep (recovery).26 Twenty-four hour EE was 7% higher during the day of total sleep deprivation compared to baseline and, contrary to results by Benedict et al.,25 post-meal EE was higher after every meal during total sleep deprivation than at baseline. When data were divided into daytime and nighttime EE, daytime EE was similar between conditions but nighttime EE was increased by 32% during total sleep deprivation. In that study, participants remained semi-recumbent during scheduled wakefulness. The authors concluded that sleep was a state of energy conservation.

b. Partial sleep restriction

Other studies measuring EE during periods of restricted sleep and habitual sleep have used various protocols and procedures. Both Nedeltcheva et al.22 and St-Onge et al.15 measured free-living EE over 14 or 6 d, respectively, of restricted sleep (5.5 or 4 h/night) and habitual sleep (approximately 7.5 h/night) using doubly-labeled water and found no difference in total daily EE. These two studies also measured RMR by indirect calorimetry and did not find any difference between sleep periods. Nedeltcheva et al.22 also reported no effect of sleep duration on activity EE, measured by actigraphy, whereas St-Onge et al.15 reported a trend for lower peak activity and significantly lower percent time spent in heavy and very heavy physical activity during the period of restricted relative to habitual sleep. These two studies enrolled equal numbers of men and women, young-to-middle adulthood, and overweight22 or normal weight.15 Similarly, Bosy-Westphal et al.17 reported no difference in RMR (measured by indirect calorimetry) after a period of restricted sleep relative to baseline habitual sleep in women and no difference in total daily EE estimated by heart rate monitoring. However, they found that the change in resting EE after an oral glucose tolerance test was 32% higher after restricted sleep compared to baseline.

Two other studies by Buxton and colleagues27,28 found different effects of sleep restriction on RMR, assessed by indirect calorimetry. In a study including non-obese men, they found that restricting sleep to 5 h/night for 7 nights had no effect on RMR,27 whereas in the other study—in which they both restricted sleep to 6.5 h per 28 h period while extending the day to 28 h—inducing both sleep restriction and circadian disruption, RMR was reduced by 8% compared to the sleep replete baseline period.28 The latter study included both men and women and young and older (average age 23 and 60 years, respectively) participants. It is possible that the shift in circadian rhythm may be a key factor in disturbing RMR in healthy individuals.

Two studies which measured free-living physical activity using actigraphy also found contradictory results.14,22 Brondel et al.21 found that physical activity during one afternoon and evening after a 4-h night was higher than after an 8-h night,
whereas Schmid et al.\textsuperscript{14} found lower free-living physical activity over one day after a night of 4 h sleep compared to a night of 7.5 h sleep. In that study, the proportion of sedentary activity was increased and high intensity activity decreased after short sleep. Both studies enrolled normal-weight men.

**c. Sleep quality**

Another study aimed to examine the effect of sleep fragmentation on EE was performed on 15 young normal-weight men.\textsuperscript{29} In that study, participants spent 48 h in a metabolic chamber with allowed time in bed between 23:30 and 07:30. On one oc-

---

### Table 1—Summary of clinical studies of sleep restriction to assess the role of sleep duration on energy balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Sleep</th>
<th>Food intake\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>Energy expenditure</th>
<th>Leptin</th>
<th>Ghrelin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benedict et al.\textsuperscript{25}</td>
<td>14 men, normal weight</td>
<td>Total sleep deprivation vs 8 h sleep</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Lower RMR\textsuperscript{2} Reduced post-prandial rise in EE</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Increased by 11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosy-Westphal et al.\textsuperscript{17}</td>
<td>14 women, normal weight to obese</td>
<td>Not randomized 9 h (2 nights) vs 5.5 h (4 nights)</td>
<td>Increased by 415 kcal</td>
<td>Increased by 24%</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brondel et al.\textsuperscript{21}</td>
<td>12 men, normal weight</td>
<td>8 h vs 4 h (2 nights each)</td>
<td>Increased by 559 kcal</td>
<td>Higher physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buxton et al.\textsuperscript{27}</td>
<td>20 men, non-obese</td>
<td>10 h (3 nights) followed by 5 h (7 nights)</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>RMR not different</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dzaja et al.\textsuperscript{38}</td>
<td>10 men, non-obese</td>
<td>Total sleep deprivation vs 8 h sleep</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al.\textsuperscript{26}</td>
<td>5 men, 2 women, normal weight</td>
<td>Total sleep deprivation vs 8 h sleep</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>24-EE increased by 7% during total sleep deprivation vs baseline 8 h sleep</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nedeltcheva et al.\textsuperscript{22}</td>
<td>11 men and women, overweight</td>
<td>5.5 or 7.5 h (14 d each)</td>
<td>Increased by 297 kcal</td>
<td>Not different (total, RMR, activity EE)</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omisade et al.\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>15 women, normal weight to obese</td>
<td>Not randomized 10 h vs 3 h</td>
<td>Controlled</td>
<td>Higher morning but not evening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pejovic et al.\textsuperscript{12}</td>
<td>21 men and women, normal weight</td>
<td>Not randomized Total sleep deprivation vs 8 h</td>
<td>Not controlled, not reported (instructed not to deviate from habitual)</td>
<td>Higher 24-h leptin, mostly daytime; no difference in nighttime leptin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmid et al.\textsuperscript{11}</td>
<td>9 men, normal weight</td>
<td>Total sleep deprivation vs 4.5 h vs 7 h</td>
<td>Not controlled, not reported (higher hunger ratings)</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Increased by 22% (total sleep deprivation vs 7 h) Increased by 11% vs 4.5 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schmid et al.\textsuperscript{14}</td>
<td>15 men, normal weight</td>
<td>4 h vs 8 h</td>
<td>Not different but % energy from fat increased</td>
<td>Lower physical activity, more sedentary and less high intensity activity</td>
<td>Not different</td>
<td>Not different</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al.\textsuperscript{36}</td>
<td>145 men and women, normal weight to obese</td>
<td>Not randomized, 10 h (2 nights) vs 4 h (5 nights)</td>
<td>Not controlled, not reported</td>
<td>Increased morning leptin, rise greater in women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiegel et al.\textsuperscript{35}</td>
<td>11 men, normal weight</td>
<td>Not randomized, 8 h (3 nights) vs 4 h (6 nights)</td>
<td>Controlled, not reported</td>
<td>Reduced by 19%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiegel et al.\textsuperscript{13}</td>
<td>12 men, normal weight</td>
<td>10 h vs 4 h (2 nights each)</td>
<td>IV glucose infusion</td>
<td>Reduced by 18%</td>
<td>Increased by 28%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St-Onge et al.\textsuperscript{15}</td>
<td>27 men and women, normal weight</td>
<td>9 h vs 4 h (4 nights each)</td>
<td>Increased by 297 kcal</td>
<td>Not different (total EE or RMR)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Data report the contrast between restricted and habitual sleep. EE, energy expenditure; RMR, resting metabolic rate.
casion sleep was undisturbed, whereas in the other sleep was fragmented by hourly wake-up calls. Although the goal was to study fragmented sleep and not restricted sleep, total sleep time was reduced by approximately 1.5 h in the fragmented sleep arm. In that study, total EE and RMR were not different between sleep conditions, but activity EE was higher during the fragmented sleep phase. Sleep fragmentation also increased respiratory quotient and carbohydrate oxidation and reduced fat oxidation. All components of EE were assessed in the metabolic chamber.

d. Summary: Sleep deficiency and energy expenditure

Thus far, studies examining the impact of sleep duration on EE have produced disparate results. This is in part due to differences in sleep protocols (either total sleep deprivation or partial sleep restriction) and measurement type (doubly-labeled water, indirect calorimetry, metabolic chamber, actigraphy). Some studies have used a randomized, multiple-phase design, but others were not randomized and may have an order effect. Moreover, only one study enrolled more than 15 participants, and 4 studies enrolled both men and women. The majority of studies have therefore enrolled young (mean age < 30 y) normal-weight men. More research is necessary to determine: (1) if sleep duration affects total EE; (2) if sleep duration affects each component of total EE similarly (sleep, resting, activity, and postprandial EE, non-exercise activity thermogenesis); and (3) whether the effects of sleep duration on EE are similar between men and women, normal weight and overweight/obese, and young and older individuals. One concept that has been overlooked both in epidemiological and clinical research literature is the relationship between sleep duration and physical fitness. Intra-individual variability in sleep onset latency is reduced by regular physical activity, and it is possible that improving physical activity improves sleep, which would then impact other components of energy balance regulation described in this review. In fact, Penev has also commented that the potential effects of sleep deficiency in raising RMR may be offset by reductions in total daily activity EE.

4. What hormonal controls involved in the regulation of energy balance are altered by sleep deficiency? The case of leptin and ghrelin

Leptin and total ghrelin (unless otherwise specified) have been the 2 most extensively studied hormones related to energy balance regulation during periods of restricted and habitual sleep. A few cross-sectional studies have examined these hormones and found lower leptin and higher ghrelin levels in short sleepers than normal sleepers. On the other hand, Hayes et al. reported that each hour reduction in sleep duration was associated with a 6% increase in leptin, after adjusting for age, gender, race, and body mass index in participants from the Cleveland Family Study. Interestingly, as described below, discrepant results have also been observed in clinical studies.

Spiegel et al. were among the first to report altered leptin and ghrelin levels after short-term sleep restriction in 12 young normal-weight men. They found that average leptin levels measured after 2 nights of 4 h bedtimes were 18% lower and ghrelin 28% higher than after 2 nights of 10 h bedtimes. These results accounted for approximately 70% of the variance in increased hunger ratings with sleep restriction. In a similar study of longer duration, this same group reported mean leptin levels that were 19% lower after 6 nights of 4 h bedtimes compared to 12 h bedtimes. In that study, participants were at bed rest throughout the measurement period and received identical meals during both test periods. Whether participants were in energy balance which, as elaborated below can be critical in the role of sleep on leptin and ghrelin, was not mentioned.

Since then, other studies of varying protocols have reported opposite results. For example, Bosy-Westphal et al. reported a 24% increase in leptin levels after 2 nights of restricted sleep (approximately 5.5 h) relative to habitual sleep (9 h sleep) in women, with no difference in ghrelin levels between sleep periods. In that study, participants were in positive energy balance, at least during the period of restricted sleep (or in relative positive energy balance compared to habitual sleep). Simpson et al. also found a 33% increase in morning leptin levels after 5 nights of 4-h bedtimes compared to baseline levels obtained after 2 nights of 10-h bedtimes. State of energy balance in that study is not known, as participants were permitted to self-select their food intake. Increased morning, but not evening, leptin levels were also reported after one night of 3-h bedtimes relative to a baseline night of 10 h in young women. In that study, food intake was controlled and identical on both test days but again, the state of energy balance was not reported. Finally, Pejovic et al. reported increased mean 24-h leptin after one night of total sleep deprivation relative to a baseline measurement after 3 nights of habitual sleep. These authors also found that the rise in leptin mostly occurred during the daytime with no differences between sleep conditions in nighttime leptin. Food intake was self-selected, and no information was provided on energy intakes or state of energy balance.

Other studies have, however, failed to observe an effect of sleep duration on leptin levels. In a cross-sectional study of actigraphy-measured sleep, Knutson et al. reported no association between sleep duration, efficiency or disturbances and leptin. In intervention studies, Nedeltcheva et al. and Schmid et al. found no effect of sleep duration on mean 24-h leptin or ghrelin levels in men and women. In the study by Nedeltcheva et al., participants were in positive energy balance, and it can be assumed similarly for the study by Schmid et al. since participants consumed approximately 4,000 kcal in both study phases. One night of total sleep deprivation also had no impact on leptin levels in normal weight men, although ghrelin levels were increased by approximately 11% relative to habitual (8 h) sleep. State of energy balance could not be determined from that study. A similar pattern of no effect on leptin but significant, albeit greater, rise in ghrelin was observed when comparing total sleep deprivation to 7 h sleep when participants were asked to maintain their regular dietary habits. Finally, Gonnissen et al., who assessed the impact of one night of sleep fragmentation on leptin and active ghrelin found no difference in 24-h hormone levels compared to a night of undisturbed sleep in young men. Although diet was controlled in that study, state of energy balance was not ascertained.

One study that did not measure leptin but measured ghrelin reported increased ghrelin levels when participants were
permitted to sleep for 8 h compared to a night of total sleep deprivation. In that study, ghrelin levels rose sharply around midnight in the sleep condition and decreased slowly until morning, whereas the overnight rise was blunted during sleep deprivation such that ghrelin rose slowly to a plateau early morning and decreased after breakfast. In that study, participants were fed an 1,800 kcal diet, an energy intake level likely below requirements for a subject population with a range of body mass index between 20.5 and 29.5 kg/m².

Only one study has assessed the effects of sleep duration on active acetylated ghrelin levels. Compared to a baseline period of 3 nights of 10 h in bed, sleep restriction to 6.5 h in bed per 24-h day (combination of circadian disruption and sleep restriction) for 7 nights and 9 recovery nights of 10 h in bed both resulted in slightly higher 24-h ghrelin and slightly lower 24-h leptin profiles. In that study, despite controlled eucaloric diets adjusted when body weight changed by ≥ 1 kg, participants lost weight over the course of the study. Therefore, despite efforts to maintain stable energy balance, participants were in a state of negative energy balance.

The effects of sleep duration on leptin and ghrelin levels are quite mixed. Two studies by Spiegel et al. found reduced leptin11,35 and increased ghrelin12 after a period of restricted sleep relative to habitual sleep under controlled feeding conditions35 or constant intravenous glucose infusion.13 Of 8 other studies assessing the effects of sleep restriction, either partial or total, on leptin levels, half found no change11,14,22,25 and half found increased leptin12,16,17,36 compared to habitual sleep. These studies differed in their feeding protocol, with 2 providing controlled diets16,25 and the others allowing participants to self-select their food intake.11,12,14,17,22 However, no pattern can be identified between controlled and uncontrolled feeding studies. Of note, however, is that studies finding lower or no change in leptin between restricted and habitual sleep have enrolled men exclusively,11,13,14,25,35 whereas those finding increased leptin enrolled exclusively women16,17 or both men and women.12,36

The effects of sleep duration on ghrelin levels are also mixed. Of 7 published studies measuring ghrelin, 3 found no difference,14,17,22 3 found greater,11,13,25 and one found lower17 levels after restricted sleep relative to habitual sleep. The studies reporting no effect of sleep duration on ghrelin levels also reported positive energy balance17,22 or greater percent energy intake from fat14 during the period of restricted sleep. Of the 2 studies that provided fixed energy intakes, one reported increased ghrelin25 and one reported lower ghrelin28 after restricted sleep. Both studies enrolled men exclusively and used a total sleep deprivation paradigm.25,38 It is important to note that although food intake was controlled in several studies, this does not guarantee stable energy balance. Due to inaccuracies in energy requirement estimation equations, and inter-individual variability, participants can be overfed or underfed on a controlled diet. The predictive value of equations to estimate energy requirements has been reviewed by Heymsfield and colleagues.39 The review highlights issues that are often not taken into account by predictive equations, such as race, height (for some equations), weight stability (post-weight loss resting energy expenditure may be different than that of a similar weight/height/sex person who has not lost weight), and environmental factors, which all affect energy requirements. In addition, predictive equations were developed to estimate energy requirements for populations or groups, not specifically for individuals. As a result, it is often difficult to accurately estimate energy requirements for individuals, especially under short periods of time. It is possible that energy balance status may play a role in the effects of sleep duration on ghrelin levels and possibly also leptin levels. Kilkus et al.14 have suggested that sleep loss increases ghrelin levels only in the presence of negative energy balance. This concept has also been highlighted by Penne.31 Unfortunately, studies that report increased ghrelin with restricted sleep relative to habitual sleep have not reported energy intakes.11,13 However, all studies in which we can determine that participants were in a state of positive energy balance showed no effects of sleep deficiency on total ghrelin levels.14,17,22 On the other hand, studies by Dzaja et al.18 and Buxton et al.14 conducted under states of negative energy balance, found a reduction in total and acetylated ghrelin, respectively, with sleep deficiency (although in the case of Buxton et al., this was also observed in the sleep recovery period compared to baseline).

It is possible that genetic variation may play a role in the leptin and ghrelin responses to sleep deficiency. Garaulet et al.40 reported associations between CLOCK3111T/C allele genotype and ghrelin but not leptin levels. Individuals who were carriers of the C allele had higher ghrelin levels than those with TT genotype. They also reported shorter sleep duration and greater evening chronotype preference.

Summary and Conclusions

The relationship between sleep duration and obesity has been extensively reported in the epidemiological literature.1,3 There is now mounting evidence from clinical intervention studies for a causal effect of sleep on obesity risk. However, if sleep duration plays a causal role in the development of obesity, then it must lead to positive energy balance. This can be achieved by increasing food intake relative to EE or reducing EE relative to energy intake or a combination of the two such that: Energy intake > EE. Studies to date show a clear pattern of increased food intake during periods of restricted sleep relative to habitual sleep, mostly in normal-weight normal sleepers. It is interesting here to note that food restriction increases sleep onset latency and reduces total slow wave sleep.41 It is possible that overeating during a period of sleep deficiency is a physiological attempt to restore sleep, as it is known that higher food intake promotes sleep.42 The impact of sleep duration on EE is less clear. This may be due to the multiple components of EE: RMR, sleeping metabolic rate, thermic effect of food, physical activity EE, non-exercise activity thermogenesis. Each of these components requires different measurement tools, and each can be differentially affected by sleep.

How sleep affects food intake, however, remains to be elucidated. There is evidence of altered endocrine control of energy balance, but the effect can also be at the cognitive level. Nedeletcheva et al.32 suggested that hypothalamic orexigenic neurons involved in the modulation of reward and motivation may be altered by restricting sleep duration. This is supported by data from St-Onge et al.33 and Benedict et al.,44 who found increased neuronal activation in the frontal cortex—involved in the reward value of food—in response to food stimuli after restricted sleep. It is possible that restricting sleep increases the reward-
ing value of food while increasing endocrine drivers of food intake, such as ghrelin, leading to increased food consumption. However, more research is necessary to determine the role of energy balance itself on the impact of sleep on endocrine factors. In addition, studies of sleep extension are necessary to determine if increasing sleep duration in short sleepers has the opposite effect as reducing sleep in normal sleepers.

There remain numerous gaps in the literature surrounding sleep duration and energy balance. The majority of published studies have been conducted on young, normal-weight, healthy men. It is unknown whether the effects are similar in women, and there are suggestions that women may respond differently than men. Also, clinical intervention studies have created large sleep differences when studying sleep restriction compared to sleep sufficiency, usually at least 3 h. Whether less drastic reductions that are sustained for long periods of time achieve reductions that are equivalent to large reductions over a short period of time remains to be determined. Such reductions in sleep may be more akin to the effects of aging on sleep duration and would be consistent with the relationship between aging and energy expenditure. Of critical importance is the understanding of the role of energy balance on the effects of sleep on hormonal and metabolic risk profile. Is sleep deficiency more harmful in the context of positive energy balance? Does sleep restriction amplify the counter-regulatory effects of negative energy balance? These questions are important to answer as individuals are in constant energy flux; some days of positive energy balance are counterbalanced with days of negative energy balance to ensure relatively stable body weight for most individuals. Finally, whether increasing sleep duration in habitual short sleepers results in the opposite observations obtained when reducing sleep in habitual good sleepers remains to be determined. However, it will not be long before we start getting some answers to the sleep extension question.
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