Effect of Manual Editing of Total Recording Time: Implications for Home Sleep Apnea Testing
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Study Objectives: Type 3 home sleep apnea tests may underestimate the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) due to overestimation of total sleep time (TST). We aimed to evaluate the effect of manual editing of the total recording time (TRT) on the TST and AHI.

Methods: Thirty 15-channel in-home polysomnography studies (AHI 0 to 30 events/h) scored using American Academy of Sleep Medicine criteria were rescored by two blinded polysomnologists after data from electroencephalogram, electrooculogram, and electromyogram were masked. In method 1, periods of probable wakefulness and artifact were manually edited and removed from analysis. Method 2 identified TST as the TRT without manual editing. Paired t-tests were used to compare the TST and AHI between these methods. Sensitivity and specificity of each method were calculated for gold standard AHI cutoffs of ≥ 5 and ≥ 15 events/h.

Results: TST (mean [standard deviation, SD]) by polysomnography, method 1, and method 2 was 366.0 (70.1), 447.1 (59.0), and 542 (61.9) min, respectively. The corresponding AHI was 12.5 (8.2), 10.8 (7.0), and 9.1 (6.1) events/h, respectively. Compared to polysomnography, both alternative methods overestimated the TST (method 1: mean difference [SD] 81.1 [56.1] min, method 2: 176.0 [89.7] min; both p < 0.001) and underestimated the AHI (method 1: mean difference [SD] −1.6 [3.3], method 2: −3.3 [3.9]; both p < 0.001). The sensitivity was 100% and 70.0% for method 1, and 91.3% and 40.0% for method 2 for identifying sleep-disordered breathing using AHI cutoffs of ≥ 5 and ≥ 15 events/h, respectively.

Conclusions: Manual editing of TRT reduces the overestimation of TST and improves the sensitivity for identifying studies with sleep-disordered breathing.

Commentary: A commentary on this article appears in this issue on page 9.
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Thirty 15-channel in-home PSG studies with AHI ranging between 0 to 30 events/h (10 studies with AHI less than 10, 10 studies with AHI between 10 to 15, and 10 studies with AHI between 15 to 30 events/h) were randomly selected from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) Sleep Study sample, an ancillary study of MESA designed to investigate the effect of sleep disorders on prevalent and incident subclinical cardiovascular disease and its progression. We excluded studies with an AHI > 30 events/h given our interest in classification in mild to moderate-severe range. The PSG studies were conducted using a 15-channel monitor (Compumedics Somte System; Compumedics Ltd., Abbotsville, Australia). The recording montage included central, occipital and frontal EEGs, bilateral EOGs, a chin EMG, bipolar electrocardiography, thoracic and abdominal respiratory inductance plethysmography, airflow measured by thermistor and nasal pressure cannula, finger pulse oximetry, and bilateral limb movements (piezoelectric sensors). The studies were scored by trained research polysomnologists using AASM criteria (gold standard). Apneas were defined as a ≥ 90% reduction in respiratory amplitude for ≥ 10 sec. Hypopneas were defined as a ≥ 30% reduction in respiratory amplitude for ≥ 10 sec associated with a ≥ 3% oxygen desaturation. The REI was defined as the number of apneas and hypopneas per hour of TST. Only studies with at least one respiratory channel (airflow or either band), oximetry and one EEG channel with ≥ 5 h of scorable data and for ≥ 50% of the sleep time were included in the current analysis.

For the current analysis, the PSG studies were rescored in random order by two blinded polysomnologists after data from EEG, EOG, and EMG were masked. In method 1, monitoring time, determined by removing periods of probable wakefulness and artifact from the TRT, as recommended by Berry et al., served as a proxy for TST and was used to calculate the REI. Specifically, sleep onset was determined based on a qualitative assessment that includes evidence of reduction in artifact across channels, reduction in heart rate, and assumption of rhythmic breathing, while sleep offset is marked by appearance of sustained movement artifact and/or increased heart rate. Wake periods were scored if there were consecutive epochs with consistent activity (no minimum duration) or when the oximetry signal or all respiratory signals were unreliable for a duration of at least 20 min. Periods with a loss of reliable signal from all respiratory channels and from the oximetry channel were scored as wake (no minimum duration). In method 2, the TST was defined as the TRT without manual editing, identifying sleep onset based on lights off and sleep offset as lights on and was used to calculate the REI.

Statistical Analysis

The indices averaged for both scorers were used for all analyses. Paired t-tests were used to compare the TST and REI between methods. Two-sided values of p < 0.05 adjusted for three pairwise comparisons using Bonferroni correction were considered statistically significant. Agreement between PSG and the alternative methods were depicted graphically using Bland-Altman plots. Sensitivity and specificity of each method were calculated for gold standard AHI cutoffs of ≥ 5 and ≥ 15 events/h. SDB was classified using AHI thresholds of < 5, 5–14.9, ≥ 15 events/h, representing normal, mild, and moderate SDB, respectively. Intraclass correlation coefficients were used to assess agreement between scorers for TST and AHI for both alternative methods. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 statistical software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).
27.5 kg/m² (SD 4.4), respectively. The participants were 46.7% male, 36.7% white, 30.0% Hispanic, 20% Chinese, and 20.0% black. A comparison of the TST and AHI as measured by PSG versus method 1 and method 2 are shown in Table 1. The mean (SD) TST using PSG, method 1, and method 2 was 366.0 (70.1), 447.1 (59.0), and 542.0 (61.9) min, respectively. The mean (SD) AHI using PSG, method 1, and method 2 was 12.5 (8.2), 10.8 (7.0), and 9.1 (6.1) events/h, respectively. Bland-Altman plots depicting the mean bias comparing the TST and AHI between PSG and the two alternative methods are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Compared to PSG, both alternative methods overestimated the TST (method 1: mean difference [SD] 81.1 [56.1] min, method 2: 176.0 [89.7] min; both p < 0.001) and underestimated the AHI (method 1: mean difference [SD] −1.6 [3.3]; p = 0.034, method 2: −3.3 [3.9]; p < 0.001). Compared to method 1, method 2 overestimated the TST (mean difference [SD] 94.9 [66.2] min, p < 0.001) and underestimated the AHI (mean [SD] 1.7 [1.2] events/h, p < 0.001).

Table 1—Total sleep time and AHI/REI by scoring method (n = 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PSG</th>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Method 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TST, min</td>
<td>366.0 ± 70.1 (170.0–492.0)</td>
<td>447.1 ± 59.0 (273.5–566.5) a</td>
<td>542.0 ± 61.9 (380.0–671.0) a,b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHI/REI, events/h</td>
<td>12.5 ± 8.2 (0–27.0)</td>
<td>10.8 ± 7.0 (0.5–26.7) c</td>
<td>9.1 ± 6.1 (0.4–23.7) a,b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation (range). Data for methods 1 and 2 represent the average measurement of two scorers. a p < 0.001 compared to PSG. b p < 0.001 compared to method 1. c p = 0.034 compared to PSG. AHI = apnea-hypopnea index, REI = respiratory event index, TST = total sleep time, PSG = polysomnography.

Figure 1—Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between PSG and method 1 (using manual editing of artifacts and periods of wakefulness) for total sleep time and the AHI.

Figure 2—Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between PSG and method 2 (using total recording time) for total sleep time and the AHI.
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Using AHI cutoffs of ≥ 5 and ≥ 15 events/h, the sensitivity was 100% and 70.0% for method 1, and 91.3% and 40.0% for method 2 for identifying studies with SDB, respectively. The specificity was 100% for both methods using both AHI cutoffs (Table 2). Using AHI cutoffs of < 5, 5–14.9, and ≥ 15 events/h on PSG, method 1 resulted in an overall misclassification of 10% and method 2 resulted in an overall misclassification of 26.7% of studies. Misclassification was greater for moderate SDB (AHI ≥ 15 events/h) than for mild SDB (Table 3).

The intraclass correlation coefficients for TST and AHI for method 1 were 0.772 and 0.980, respectively, and for method 2 were 0.967 and 0.981, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study demonstrates that compared to a full PSG (gold standard), sleep studies without EEG and scored automatically using TRT overestimated the TST, which led to an underestimation of the AHI. This underestimation of AHI resulted in a misclassification of SDB severity in participants. Manual editing of TRT for periods of artifact and probable wakefulness reduced the overestimation of TST and provided an AHI that more closely approximated that obtained from the PSG. As a result, manual editing of TRT improved the sensitivity for identifying studies with SDB and reduced the misclassification of SDB severity compared to studies where AHI was derived from TRT.

It has been debated that use of HSAT may improve access to sleep services and may be associated with reduced cost. However, a recent economic analysis of HSAT and related home-based sleep apnea pathways identified that although cost savings are achieved for payers, HSAT is associated with comparable or even greater costs for providers compared to PSG-based pathways. A prior economic simulation study also concluded that full-night PSG, not portable monitoring, was the preferred diagnostic strategy in patients suspected to have moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea. In addition, the AASM recommends that patients with high pretest probabilities of moderate to severe obstructive sleep apnea with negative or technically inadequate portable monitoring tests be evaluated with in-laboratory PSG to exclude the possibility of a false negative study. Such an approach would entail additional use of resources, thereby reducing the cost-effectiveness of the HSAT strategy as a whole.

Given the reduced reimbursement for HSAT, there are incentives for reducing actual costs and time with the use of automated scoring software. Although the AASM has published guidelines for scoring HSAT, there is variability among sleep centers regarding whether the TRT or monitoring time is used to calculate the REL. As demonstrated in our study, the use of TRT without manual editing of period of wakefulness and

**Table 2**—Sensitivity and specificity analysis comparing method 1 and method 2 with PSG using AHI cutoffs of ≥ 5 and ≥ 15 events/h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 23 / 23 × 100 = 100%; Specificity: 7 / 7 × 100 = 100%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method 2</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity: 21 / 23 × 100 = 91.3%; Specificity: 7 / 7 × 100 = 100%

**AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; PSG = polysomnography.**

**Table 3**—Classification of sleep-disordered breathing using method 1 and method 2 compared to polysomnography (n = 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSG</th>
<th>Method 1</th>
<th>Method 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (AHI &lt; 5 events/h)</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild (AHI 5–14.9 events/h)</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (AHI ≥ 15 events/h)</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gray shading represents complete agreement between PSG and method 1 (left) or method 2 (right). Black shading represents misclassification between PSG and method 1 (left) or method 2 (right). AHI = apnea-hypopnea index; PSG = polysomnography.
artifact can grossly overestimate the TST and result in significant underestimation of the REI. Given prior studies have shown that HSAT presents more artifacts and have higher data-loss rates than in-laboratory studies, manual editing may be particularly valuable in reducing the overestimation of the TST. The overestimation may be especially evident in subjects with long sleep latency and poor sleep efficiency. In our study, use of TRT to define the REI led to a decreased sensitivity in identifying studies with SDB and may misclassify sleep apnea severity. Thus, manual editing of the recording period may improve the sensitivity of the REI without negatively influencing specificity, thus reducing the likelihood of missing a diagnosis of SDB and/or underappreciating the severity of SDB, which may lead to missed opportunities for treatment. It is also important to recognize that there is no standardized definition for TRT, which may account for differences in AHI reported by PSG compared to HSAT. In the current study, TRT was defined as the period between lights off and lights on. However, some providers may allow the patient to manually turn the HSAT device on and off when getting into and out of bed while others program the HSAT device to turn on and off at prespecified times irrespective of when the patient gets in and out of bed. With either approach, manual editing of TRT for artifacts and periods of wakefulness may increase the sensitivity in identifying studies with SDB and reduce misclassification of sleep apnea severity. Some sleep centers use HSAT devices that calculate the REI using a surrogate ‘monitoring time’ that is calculated using a TRT edited by a proprietary algorithm. Although the surrogate ‘monitoring time’ may better approximate TST than using TRT, the algorithms are not usually transparent to users and the REI derived using such proprietary algorithms are device specific (and may even be version specific), making comparisons of results and generalization difficult.

To our knowledge, our study is the first to compare TST and AHI from a full PSG to that derived using the TRT and to evaluate the effect of manual editing of TRT on TST and REI. In interpreting our results, we acknowledge several limitations to our study. Sleep studies were only scored by two highly trained polysomnologists familiar with HSAT; it is possible that less trained scorers would perform differently. We also did not include any studies with an AHI > 30 events/h due to our interest in classification in mild to moderate-severe range. However, it is unlikely that any misclassification of studies with an AHI > 30 events/h will result in a normal sleep study and thus affect treatment decisions or have implications for CPAP coverage. Our analyses were based on studies with a minimal of 5 h of scorable data. Studies with fewer data may be less reliable both because there is less information and because they may not reflect the individual’s ‘typical’ sleep. It is possible greater levels of discrepancy between the scoring methods would have been observed in shorter studies or studies with greater amounts of artifact. Prior review of portable monitors reported data loss of 3% to 18% for type 3 monitors. Furthermore, some HSAT devices may not measure airflow using both pressure and thermal sensors, whereas we used both thermistor and nasal pressure cannula to measure airflow. Use of only a single airflow sensor may influence the total number of events identified, or classification of events as apneas versus hypopneas. Last, we also analyzed data from only one type 1 monitor; use of alternative monitors may result in differences.

CONCLUSIONS

Sleep studies scored without EEG channels and without manual adjudication of TRT overestimate the TST and underestimate the AHI. Manual editing of TRT reduces the overestimation of TST and results in an REI that more closely approximates the AHI from PSG. Manual editing also improves the sensitivity for identifying studies with abnormal AHI and reduces the misclassification of SDB severity.
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